HAROLD B. WILLET

# Supreme Court of the United States

October Term-1953

No. 69

DR. EDWARD K. BARSKY,

Appellant.

178.

THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK.

Respondent.

## MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF AMICI CURIAE

To the Honorable, the Chief Justice of the United States and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

Now come Haven Emerson, Paul Klemperer, Leo Mayer and I. Ogden Woodruff, and (555) other physicians licensed to practice in the State of New York, and respectfully move this Court, pursuant to Rule 27, Par. 9 of the Rules of this Court, for leave to file the accompanying brief in this case amici curiae. The consent of the attorney for the appellant herein for filing this brief has been obtained. The consent of the attorney for the respondent was requested but was refused.

The interest of the undersigned and their reasons for asking for leave to file the annexed brief on behalf of themselves and the other signators are as follows:

The right of a physician to practice his profession is a right to liberty and property which he may not be denied without due process of law. The court below has construed state legislation to authorize a denial of this right, based upon the appellant's conviction in a federal court for refusing to produce certain records before a Congressional Committee, notwithstanding that such refusal is not criminal under state law and, concededly, did not reflect up appellant's professional competence or integrity. Very believe as Judge Fuld stated in his dissent that "the leg lature advances into the individual's constitutional rig to liberty and property when it undertakes to deprive man of his practice or trade for reasons unconnected with the proper exercise."

The decision of the lower court is thus fraught wiserious consequences for the entire medical profession. We believe, therefore, that this Court will be assisted the accompanying brief, submitted by a representating group of New York physicians, which sets forth the reason why, from the point of view of the profession, the important constitutional questions involved in this case show be reviewed.

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD B. BOUDIN, Counsel for Undersigned Committee

Haven Emerson Leo Mayer

Harold Aaron, Manhattan Adelbert C. Abbott, Syracuse Hans Abeles, Manhattan Louis Abelson, Manhattan Wolfgang Ackerman, Manhattan Robert A. Adams, Queens Ralph J. Adleman, Long Island Gustave Adlerberg, Brooklyn Norman Alisberg, Manhattan Margery G. Allen, Manhattan Ernest F. Allison, White Plains D. Alperin, Brooklyn Herman Anfanger, White Plains Alfred Angrist, Jamaica J. S. Aronoff, Manhattan Henry, Aranow, Jr., Manhattan Lionel S. Auster, Manhattan Samuel H. Averbuck, Manhattan

Paul Klemperer I. Ogden Woodruff

Moses Bacher, Bronx Arthur Back, Jackson Heights P. Badamy, Rochester Frederick R. Bailey, Manhatta J. B. Bailin, Bronx John M. Baldwin, Manhattan Louis Barash, Manhattan Walter Barbeleben, Manhattan Samuel Barland, Elmhurst George E. Barnes, Herkimer David Barry, Manhattan Murray H. Bass, Manhattan Frank A. Bassen, Manhattan Abraham I. Beacher, Brooklyn George Bean, Garden City David Beck, Manhattan John Beck, Staten Island Frederick P. Becker, Glens Fall Erwin Beckhard, Forest Hills Arnold Benfey, Manhattan Thomas D. Benson, Rochester Muriel R. Benton, Forest Hills Alan L. Berkley, Elmhurst Benjamin C. Berliner, Hewlett Joshua Bernstein, Manhattan W. S. Bernstein, Brooklyn Frank B. Berry, Manhattan Ernest H. Bettmann, Manhattan Hilda I. Bettmann, White Plains Irving Bieber, Manhattan Marie A. Bieber, Phoenicia Bennett W. Billow, Manhattan Morton S. Biskind, Westport Alan R. Bleich, Manhattan Harris Blinder, Bronx Joseph Blinder, Brooklyn Fritz J. Bloch, Manhattan William Bloom, Brooklyn E. M. Bluestone, Bronx Theodor Blum, Manhattan Isabelle Blumenthal, Manhattan Ernst P. Boas, Manhattan Morris Bobrow, Manhattan Joseph Bloch, Brightwaters Edgar O. Boggs, Louville Walter Bonime, Manhattan Isabelle F. Borden, Jackson Heights Maxwell Brand, Manhattan Eben Breed, Garden City Edwin C. Braynard, Glen Cove Charles Brenner, Manhattan Frederick Bridge, Manhattan Samuel Brock, Manhattan Stephen Brouwer, Clifton Springs Philip I. Burack, New Rochelle C. A. V. Burt, Manhattan

Amos Cahan, Manhattan Janet Caldwell, Manhattan Arthur S, Calman, Manhattan Sidney Canter, Bronx Reuben Cares, Kings Park A. D. Carpenter, Buffa...) M. A. Carter, Long Island City John Carroll, Manhattan Alex Charlton, Manhattan Jacob Clahr, Bronx Marvin S. Cohen, Niagara Falls Paul W. Cohen, Manhattan Samuel Cohen, Brooklyn Sidney M. Cohen, Manhattan Alfred Cohn, Manhattan Felix Cohn, Manhattan Heinz G. Cohn, Afton Robert A. Cooke, Manhattan William M. Cooper, Manhattan H. W. Cowper, Buffalo Irving J. Crain, Manhattan E. G. Cramer, Buffalo B. Crosby, Fredonia Ralph M. Crowley, Manhattan

Sophocles D. Dadakis, Manhattan Joseph Dalven, Brooklyn George L. Daniels, Portchester Edmund O. Darbois, Brooklyn Leo M. Davidoff, Manhattan Beechman J. Delatour, Otsego County Louis M. D'Esopo, Tarrytown Willard G. Dickie, Bayside John C. Dingman, Spring Valley James A. Dingwall, Manhattan Julius Dobkin, Manhattan Walter Dolgin, Manhattan Sol Dombeck, Brooklyn David Drautman, Manhattan Phebe L. DuBois, Manhattan Charles Dumay, Brooklyn Eugene A. Dupin, Manhattan

Monroe M. Edelstein, St. Albans David Edwards, East Hampton David E. Ehrlich, Brooklyn Henry Eichel, Manhattan William Eilbott, Manhattan Harold J. Eisenberg, New Rochelle Adolph Eisenbud, Manhattan K. R. Eissler, Manhattan Lewis A. Eldridge, Jr., Rensselaerville Bernard H. Eliasberg, Manhattan

ghts attan

t upon

e legis-

lright

rive a

d with

t with

ession.

ted by

itative

easons

impor-

should

We

iattan tan

tan er

n lyn

Falls

David Elkin, Flushing Alan Emanuel, Manhattan Eugene Emerson, Rochester William H. English, Rochester David Epstein, Manhattan Joseph Epstein, Manhattan Nathan Epstein, Manhattan M. R. Erdberg, Manhattan Benjamin D. Erger, Brooklyn Josephine Evarts, Millerton

A. A. Fabian, Manhattan John A. Faiella, Yonkers Marvnia F. Farnham, Manhattan Irving L. Farr, Brier Hill Anthony G. Featherston, Manhattan Bernard S. Feinberg, Brooklyn Pauline Feldmann, Manhattan John W. Ferree, Pleasantville Mack H. Fieber, Manhattan Julius Fink, Bronx William S. Fink, Brooklyn Alfred E. Fischer, Manhattan A. A. Fisher, Woodside Morris Fishman, Jamaica Ralph W. Flax, Long Beach Alois L. Fleischner, Bronx Franklin M. Foote, Pelham . Charles Forman, New Rochelle Sheldon Fox, Manhattan Irwin M. Fradkin, Freeport David J. Frank, Manhattan Paul G. Frank, Kew Gardens Olga Frankel, Forest Hills Kate Frankenthal, Manhattan Selwyn Z. Freed, Manhattan J. P. Freedman, Rochester William A. Freeman, Manhattan A. M. Freireich, Malverne Eugene Freundlich, Manhattan Harry Fried, Bronx Asa B. Friedmann, Brooklyn Clarence F. Friedman, Brooklyn George Friedman, Manhattan Leon H. Friedman, Bronx

Louis Friedman, Manhattan William Friedman, Manhattan Samuel Friedman, Brooklyn Stephen Fromer, Staten Island Simon Frucht, Brooklyn M. Jack Frumin, Manhattan Irving J. Fuchs, Levittown Joseph B. Furst, Manhattan

Elenaor Galenson, Manhattan Bryon J. Garson, Manhattan Sylvia L. Gennis, Manhattan Joseph Glassman, Manhattan Peter I. Glauber, Manhattan Samuel M. Gluck, Kew Gardens Gabriel C. Godman, Manhattan Leonard Gold, Stamford Aaron Goldbaum, Manhattan Leo G. Goldberg, Jamaica Nathaniel Gottesman, St. Albans Emil Guensberg, Manhattan Louis Gussow, Syracuse Maurice R. Green, Manhattan Sarah K. Greenberg, Brooklyn Sidney M. Greenberg, Manhattan William Greenwald, Manhattan Robert Y. Gromet, Valley Stream M. B. Groothins, Brooklyn Sidney W. Gross, Manhattan Isaac Grossman, Manhattan Harry Gruber, Brooklyn Jacques Grunbluth, North Creek

Merrill Haas, Manhattan Sidney V. Haas, Manhattan Harry Hallerman, Manhattan Isaac H. Halperin, Brooklyn Jacob Halperin, Brooklyn Harold K. Harvey, Manhattan Jacob Heiman, Manhattan Caryl Biren Heimer, Brooklyn Norman Herzig, Manhattan Aaron Himmelstein, Manhattan Herman Hirschfeld, Manhattan Ilse Hirschfeld, Manhattan Kurt E. Hirschfeld, Bronx Max L. Hirschhorn Brooklyn Charles Hoffman, Levittown Sidney Hoffman, Brooklyn W. G. Holz, Rockville Centre Irving N. Holtzman, Brooklyn H. Lyman Hooker, Manhattan Edward J. Hornick, Manhattan Mark Hornstein, Manhattan Edward A. Horowitz, Manhattan Sol Z. Horowitz, Flushing Charles B. Horton, Manhattan Tasker Howard, Flushing Wendell L. Hughes, Hempstead Wilfred C. Hulse, Manhattan Morton Hurewitz, Brooklyn Sydney Hutner, Manhattan Charles Hyman, Manhattan H. E. Isaacs, Manhattan John H. Iselin, Hartsdale

Samuel Jackson, Valley Strea Edward Jacobs, Manhattan William W. Johnson, Plattsburg Edward D. Joseph, Manhattan Milton E. Jucovy, Manhattan David Jurist, Manhattan M. E. Jutte, Manhattan N. Kagane, Manhattan L. Kahan, Bronx Bernard I. Kahn, Brooklyn Edward E. Kahn, New Rochelle Louis J. Kane, Manhattan A. R. Kantrowitz, Brooklyn Arthur I. Kaplan, Utica Morris Kaplan, Manhattan Theodore Kaplan, Manhattan Samuel C. Karlan, Flushing Frank L. Karp, Brooklyn Stephanie Karpeles, Manhattan Edwin Kasin, Manhattan Chris P. Katsampes, Rochester Alexander A. Katz, Brooklyn Hannah L. Katz, Cornwall-on-Hudson Jerome Z. Litt, Brooklyn

Frank Kaufman Elmhurst Samuel S. Littman, Manhattan Ernest Kaufman, Elmhurst Louis Rene Kaufman, Manhattan

Samuel S. Kaufman, Manhattan Julius Kaunitz, Manhattan Grace Calef Kay, Richmond Elizabeth Khayat, Queens Village Emanuel Klein, Manhattan Eugene C. Klein, Manhattan Sidney Klein, Manhattan Egon Kleinman, Manhattan Jehiel Kling, Bronx Mack Kohlenberg, Carmel Jerome L. Kohn, Manhattan Joseph Kolper, Manhattan Maurice Kornberg, Manhattan Stanley A. Kornblum, Monticello Irving Kowaloff, Forest Hills Sonia Kraff, Manhattan Benjamin E. Krentz, Manhattan Augusta C. Kritz, Manhattan Ernest W. Kulka, Manhattan Max Kunreuther, Bronx Abner Kurtin, Manhattan

Robert W. Laidlaw, Manhattan Ernest W. Lampe, Manhattan Eva Landsberg, Washington E. Garcia Lascot, Manhattan Hans Lehfeldt, Manhattan Max Lehman, Bronx Lester Lehman, Manhattan William Leifer, Manhattan James L. Leland, Manhattan Henry Leonard, Kew Gardens Polly Marie Lerner, Manhattan Samuel C. Levine, Bronx Edward Levy, Bronx Hyman Levy, Manhattan Michael L. Lewin, Manhattan Raphael Lewy, Manhattan Abram Lichtyger, Bronx Arthur C. Linden, Manhattan Louis Linn, Manhattan Daniel M. Lipshutz, Manhattan Sidney Lipton, Brooklyn Robert London, White Plains

Earl A. Loomis, Jr., Pittsburgh Herman Lorber, Manhattan Abraham Lowenbraun, Bronx Solomon S. Lubin, Manhattan Harry Luloff, Manhattan William V. Lulow, Manhattan Leon Luria, Manhattan Charles H. Luss, Dreyden

David Mandel, Manhattan
Joseph Mandelbaum, Brooklyn
Irving Margulies, Manhattan
Ellis M. Markell, Harrison
Louis E. Marshall, Manhasset
Paul Matlin, Manhattan
Robert S. McBirney, Manhattan
John P. McQuillin, Vero Beach
Martha Mendell, Manhattan
Bernard C. Meyer, Manhattan
Bernard C. Meyer, Manhattan
Morton R. Milsner, Manhattan
A. S. Millman, Middletown
Walter W. Miner, Hempstead
David T. Mintz, Manhattan
Charles S. Mciel, Jamaica
Alfred Moldovan, Bronx

Simon H. Nagler, Manhattan William Needles, Manhattan B. Nelson, Manhattan Carl Truman Nelson, Manhattan Paul M. Neuda, Manhattan Arnold Neustadter, Rockaway Park R. Newman, Manhattan William Newman, Kensington Sol Nichtern, Manhattan Max Nisselson, Manhattan Margaret Nordfeldt, Manhattan

D. H. Paley, Manhattan A. M. Parker, Brooklyn Leon G. Payes, Binghamton Morris Pearlmutter, Manhattan Eleanor K. Peck, Poughkeepsie Abraham Penner, Manhattan C. D. Penson, Manhattan Luis Perelman, Manhattan Charles A. Perera, Manhattan George A. Perera, Manhattan Walter L. Perls, Manhattan Benjamin Philip, Brooklyn Milton B. Philips, Mt. Vernon William W. Pike, Manhattan Abe Pinsky, Brooklyn Ernest H. Pirkner, Riverdale Richard F. Platzer, Clifton Springs Norman Pleshette, Manhattan Nathan Pollack, Brooklyn Robert T. Porter, Manhattan Louis Portnoy, Manhattan Harry R. Potter, Manhattan William C. Potts, Cheektowaga M. L. Press, Brooklyn Leo Price, Manhattan J. S. Prince, Jamestown Sara S. Prince, Central Islip F. Morgan, Pruyn, Mt Kisco Sophie Rabinoff, Manhattan N. H. Rachlin, Brooklyn Hector J. Ritey, Manhattan Bertrand E. Roberts, Poughkeepsie Charles R. Roberts, Long Island City Leonard M. Roberts, Manhattan Mortimer B. Rohen, Brooklyn Bernard Rollin, Mt. Vernon Lawrence J. Roose, Manhattan Nathan N. Root, Forest Hills Joseph C. Roper, Manhattan Victor H. Rosen, Manhattan Irving J. Rosenbaum, Manhattan Max M. Rosenberg, Manhattan Meyer Rosenberg, Brooklyn Maxwell J. Rosenblatt, Manhattan B. Rosenbluth, Manhattan Michael Rosenbluth, Bronx David R. Rosendale, Chadwicks Robert Rosenfeld, Brooklyn S. Zelman Rosenfield, Manhattan Joseph Rosenheck, Wantagh Benjamin J. Rosenthal, Brooklyn George Rosenthal, Cherry Valley Morris Rosenthal, Manhattan Norman Rosenthal, Great Neck Pauline Rosenthal, Manhattan

Avron H. Ross, East Meadow S. B. Ross, Manhattan August B. Rossano, Bronx Irving R. Roth, Manhattan Joseph G. Rothenberg, Mt. Vernon Eli H. Rubin, Manhattan Harold S. Rubin, Hempstead Julius Rubin, Manahttan Benjamin Rubinstein, Brooklyn

Zachary Sagal, Spodra Robert V. Sager, Manhattan Angelo M. Sala, Manhattan Phinehas Salman, Manhattan M. I. Salomon, Bronx E. E. Santemma, Hempstead Sidney M. Saphir, Manhattan Ivan Saphra, Manhattan Samuel O. Sapin, Manhattan Irving A. Sarot, Manhattan Maurice I. Sasson, Bronx W. A. Sawyer, Rochester Stephen F. Saxl, Elmhurst Harold H. Saxton, Mayville Henry I. Scheer, Bronx Mollie S. Schildkraut, Forest Hills Herman Schildkraut, Forest Hills Samuel Schindelheim, Brooklyn Nat Schlossman, Brooklyn Emil M. Schnebel, Manhattan I. J. Schneider, Brooklyn Julius Schneiderman, Forest Hills Stanley S. Schneierson, Manhattan Jacob Schnur, Manhattan Max J. Schroeder, Manhattan Henry H. Schultz, Brooklyn Lester J. Schultz, Manhattan Henry Schumer, Bronx Daniel B. Schuster, Rochester F. J. Schwartz, Spring Valley Robert R. Schwartz, Bronx Otto A. Schwarz, Flushing Nathan Schwartz, Manhattan Eugene E. Schwarz, Manhattan Benjamin Segal. Manhattan James L. Segall, Manhattan

Erich Seligman, Manhattan David Selman, Spring Valley Abraham Seltzer, Manhattan Frank J. Serafin, Manhattan Louis Shaffer, Manhattan Charles Shafiroff, Flushing M. Shaine, Manhattan Louis Shalet, Jamaica Shepard Shapiro, Manhattan John A. Shaw, Brooklyn Wallace M. Shaw, Hollis Henry Z. Shelton, Manhattan Ephraim Shorr, Manhattan Frances Shostac, Manhattan Gertrude M. Shults, Lakemont Isadore M. Siegel, Lawrence Joseph M. Silagy, Manhattan Mabel G. Silverberg, Manhattan John L. Simon, Manhattan Olga Sitchevska, Manhattan Samuel G. Slo-Bodkin, Brooklyn Simon Smelensky, Brooklyn Charles Hendee Smith, Manhattan Herbert B. Smith, Corning James W. Smith, Manhattan Norman Smith, Brooklyn Ivan Snider, Manhattan Jacques D. Soifer, Brooklyn Eugene Sole, Brooklyn Paul J. Soley, Hempstead Irwin Solomon, Manhattan Nathaniel H. Solomon, Rego Park Rebecca Greene Solomon, Manhattan Anni Sonnenfeld, Manhattan Eugene Somkin, Manhattan Alan Sorrell, Manhattan Alfred Sorter, Manhattan I. Sossnitz, Manhattan Harold Speert, Manhattan P. A. Stahl, Manhattan Samuel Standard, Manhattan Jay Stanton, Manhattan Edwin MacD. Stanton, Schenectady Daniel Stats, Manhattan I. D. Steinhardt, San Francisco Rudolf H. Steinharter, Hempstead

Gertrude S. Stern, Brooklyn John J. Stern, Utica Seymour H. Stern, Manhattan Louis Strenberg, Manhattan Harry E. Stevens, Southold James M. Stewart, Rochester Ursula G. Stewart, Elmont Grete Stohr, Manhattan Fannie Stoll, Jackson Heights Paul Stone, Long Island City Abraham Strachstein, Manhattan Marion Stranahan, Manhattan Paul Strasser, Long Island City Mark Straus, Brooklyn Hans Strauss, Manhattan Hyman Strauss, Brooklyn

Joseph Tauber, Manhattan Alexander Thomas, Manhattan

Herbert F. Waldhorn, Manhattan Harry F. Wechsler, Manhattan Oscar Weiger, Bronx Aaron V. Weinberger, Manhattan Samuel M. Weingrow, Bronx

Frank Barber, Rochester Frederick Bettelheim, Manhattan

Richard J. Cross, Fair Lawn L. O. Crowley, Manhattan

Anna K. Daniels, Manhattan B. Dubovsky, Manhattan

Celia Ekelson, Manhattan

lack Fein, Manhattan Ernest S. Felsenstein, White Plains Virginia Kneeland Frantz, Manhattan Edwin I. Shack, Brooklyn Alan W. Fraser, Manhattan Rudolf R. Freudinberger, Manhattan Abraham J. Sleischer, Manhatta

A. Hutschnecker, Manhattan

Leo Jenkins, Long Island City Murray E. Keisman, Manhattan

Jacob Weinless, Manhattan Leonard A. Weinroth, Manhatta Edwin A. Weinstein, Manhattar Harry I. Weinstock, Manhattan Harry Weiss, Manhattan Louis Wender, Manhattan Paul Wermer, Manhattan Leonard Paul Wershub, Manhat Herbert J. Wiener, Manhattan A. Harold Willard, Manhattan Byard William, Manhattan Fred O. Winter, Manhattan Alexander Wolf, Manhattan Heinrich F. Wolf, Manhattan Wilson G. Wood, Manhattan Ethel E. Wortis, Manhattan Joseph Wortis, Brooklyn Benno M. Wronker, Manhattar

Natalie Yarow, Manhattan

David Zakin, Manhattan Harry O. Zamkin, Manhattan Arthur Zitrin, Manhattan Howard D. Zucker, Manhattan

Richard Lewisohn, Manhattan Milton Lowenthal, Manhattan

Laurence Miscall, Manhattan

David A. Newman, Bronx

Herman Pomeranz, Manhattan

Isaiah A. Rubin, Manhattan

Sidney M. Samis, Great Neck Maximillian Schwarz, Manhatta Joseph Shapiro, Long Island Ci Elizabeth Strauss, Manhattan

Louis M. Wiener, Jackson Heigh

Stephen B. Yohalem, Manhattan

# Supreme Court of the United States

October Term-1953

No. 69

DR. EDWARD K. BARSKY,

Appellant,

US.

THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

Respondent.

# BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE

# **Preliminary Statement**

The signatories to this brief are all practicing physicians, licensed in the State of New York. They submit this brief, as friends of the Court, in support of the appeal of Dr. Edward K. Barsky from the order of the Court of Appeals of New York, affirming the action of the Board of Regents of that state in suspending his license to practice medicine for the period of six months.

We are motivated in filing this brief by our belief that the decision of the Court of Appeals abridges the constitutionally protected right of physicians to practice their profession. The Court of Appeals reached its decision by construing the laws of New York to permit the suspension or revocation of a medical license for conduct which has no relation whatsoever to professional competence or the fitness of the physician to practice. We are concerned, therefore, both by what we conceive to be an injustice to an

nhattan hattan ittan

anhattan ttan ttan

n tan an 1

n

an tan

an in

ck attan

an

l City nattan n

eights tan individual member of our profession, and by the long range consequences of the decision upon all medical practitioners. As Judge Fuld said in his dissenting opinion "• the present decision has an importance that transcends and reaches far beyond this case. And that—its impact over the years—is what so deeply concerns and troubles me" (p. 65). In that aspect, this case presents constitutional questions of general importance, and of special concern to the medical profession, which should be reviewed by this Court.

In filing this brief, we take no position as to other questions which may be raised on appeal. Nor do we take any position with reference to the aims or objectives of the Joint Anti-Fascist Refugee Committee or Dr. Barsky's activities as its former chairman, except to point out, as Judge Fuld stated, that they cast no reflections upon Appellant's character or fitness to practice his profession.

# Summary of the Facts

Petitioner's license was suspended by the Board of Regents, acting under the authority of sub-division 2 of section 6514 of the State Education Law. That section authorizes disciplinary action against a physician who "has been convicted in a court of competent jurisdiction, either within or without the State, of a crime."

The conviction upon which the suspension order was predicated was secured against Appellant for the misdemeanor of "contempt of Congress" under title 2, section 192 of the United States Code by reason of his failure to obey a subpoena of the House Committee on Un-American Activities ordering him to produce books and records of the Joint Anti-Fascist Refugee Committee.

<sup>\*</sup> All page references to the opinions of the Court of Appeals are to Appellant's Statement As To Jurisdiction where they are printed in full.

As Judge Fuld states in his dissent (pp. 60-61), the order of suspension was made notwithstanding the following uncontradicted facts which appear from the proceedings before the Board of Regents:

- The "crime" of which Dr. Barsky was convicted involved no moral turpitude.
- In refusing to produce the subpoenaed records, he acted on advice of counsel that the subpoenas were unconstitutional and invalid, an opinion which at that time "was not an unreasonable construction of the law."
- 3. His refusal to produce the subpoenaed records was motivated, in part, by the fact that they would publicly reveal the names of Spanish Republican exiles and, in his opinion, endanger the lives of their families who resided in Spain.
- Dr. Barsky's views with reference to the invalidity
  of the subpoenas and the dire consequences of a
  public disclosure of the records were honestly held.

Thus, as Judge Fuld's opinion states and as the majority of the Court of Appeals tacitly concedes, "the record was barren of evidence reflecting upon appellant as a man or a citizen, much less upon his professional capacity or his past or anticipated conduct toward his patients" (p. 61).

Nevertheless, a majority of the Court of Appeals sustained the action of the Board of Regents. It arrived at this result by giving a literal construction to the words of the Education Law and holding that conviction of any crime anywhere warrants disciplinary action (including suspension or revocation of a physician's license) notwithstanding the fact that the conviction rests upon conduct which is neither a crime under New York Law or even morally reprehensible in the eyes of the citizens of that state.

#### ARGUMENT

The applicable provisions of the New York Education Law, as construed by the Court of Appeals, violate the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution.

The right of a physician to practice his profession is clearly a right to "liberty and property" which he may not be denied without due process of law. It is a fundamental requirement of due process that a legislative curtailment of the liberty or property of the individual must bear some reasonable and substantial relation to the requirements of the public health, safety or morals.

A legislature may, of course, prescribe qualifications for the practice of medicine. But the qualifications so prescribed must bear a reasonable relation to the fitness of the individual to practice his profession. They must be "appropriate to the calling." Dent v. West Virginia, 129 U. S. 114, 122. If they are not, they offend due process because they protect no legitimate interest of the state, but operate arbitrarily to deny a qualified physician the right to engage in a lawful and essential vocation.

In the present case, the Court of Appeals has construed the Education Law to authorize the suspension or revocation of a medical license upon a showing that the practitioner has been convicted of some "crime" somewhere, irrespective of the nature of the offense or its relation to the fitness of the physician to practice his profession. That court's construction of New York legislation is, of course, binding on this Court. But so construed, the Education Law offends due process since it authorizes the arbitrary denial of the right to practice medicine based upon conduct which has no reasonable relation to the qualifications of the physician.

On the facts of this case, as the Regents' Committee on Discipline found, and as the Court of Appeals in substance concedes, the conduct which resulted in Dr. Barsky's conviction was wholly unrelated to his professional competence or to his integrity as a man and as a doctor. A law which authorizes the suspension of his medical license under these circumstances cannot survive the test of due process. For, as Judge Fuld stated in his dissent "the legislature advances into the individual's constitutional right to liberty and property when it undertakes to deprive a man of his practice or trade for reasons unconnected with its proper exercise" (p. 62).

Dr. Barsky is threatened with suspension because he took his stand before the Committee on Un-American Activities on matters of personal confidence and assertions of constitutional right, the latter pursuant to the advice of competent counsel. But the consequences of the decision of the Court of Appeals transcend the injustice done to the individual physician before the Court in this proceeding. The decision jeopardizes the license of any physician who, though entirely innocent of wrong-doing by New York standards, finds himself convicted of an infraction of law elsewhere.

# As Judge Fuld states:

"In enacting the provision under consideration, it is, of course, obvious that the legislature did not canvass all of the myriad 'crimes' in the other forty-seven states or under the federal law or under the laws of foreign countries—undoubtedly included in the statute's 'without the state,' if sheer literalism is the guide—and reach the conclusion that each of those crimes warranted an administrative board in depriving a doctor of his license. Instances may readily be cited of acts—and I cull from the court's

opinion—'in some other state (or country) which we in New York consider non-criminal, or even meritorious'." (p. 64)

Thus, as Judge Full points out, the Court of Appeals decision would warrant the revocation of the license of a physician who was convicted in a southern state for violating a segregation ordinance, or in Kansas for drinking alcoholic liquor in a public place (p. 64).

The Court of Appeals replies to this demonstration of the arbitrary consequences that flow from its construction of the law with the statement that "some reliance must be placed on the good sense and judgment of our Board of Regents, in handling any such theoretically possible cases" (p. 56). But the very purpose of the guarantees of the Constitution was to substitute the command of law for the "good sense and judgment" of men in matters touching the fundamental rights of life, liberty and property. It does not save the constitutionality of the law to say that the Board of Regents may not revoke or suspend a physician's license on some insubstantial ground. It is sufficient to condemn the law that it authorizes the Board to do so. Bailey v. Alabama, 219 U. S. 219, 235.

Moreover, the "theoretically possible" case to which the majority alluded was before it in this proceeding. For, as we have seen, it is not contended that the conduct which resulted in Dr. Barsky's conviction reflected in any way on his fitness to practice. Indeed, counsel for Dr. Barsky urged that the action of the Board of Regents was not only arbitrary but was based on matters that the Board had no authority to consider. But the Court of Appeals refused to examine the merits of this contention, saying:

"As to the assertions by appellants that the Regents dealt too severely with them, or that the Regents, in

deciding on punishment, ignored weighty considerations and acted on matters not proper for consideration, it is enough to say that we are wholly without jurisdiction to consider these questions." (pp. 57-58) (Italics supplied.)

As Judge Full commented, "If the statutory authority of the Regents is, in truth, as the court here holds, so broad, so unrestrained, then, I venture, the statute transcends constitutional limits" (p. 67).

As practicing physicians, the signatories to this brief have a vital interest in the enforcement of the highest standards of professional competence and personal integrity among members of the medical profession. We have an equally deep-seated concern for the protection of the physician against the arbitrary deprivation of his right to practice. The security of the individual physician and the right of society to his services should be conditioned only on conduct reasonably related to his fitness to practice and "appropriate to his calling". The public welfare requires no more. Constitutional guarantees are satisfied with no less.

### CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the undersigned urge the Court to review and reverse the decision of the Court of Appeals.

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD B. BOUDIN, Counsel for

HAVEN EMERSON, MD. LEO MAYER, MD. PAUL KLEMPERER, MD.
I. OGDEN WOODRUFF, MD.

Representing Themselves and 555 Other Physicians Licensed to Practice in the State of New York.